Physical Design Implementation Challenges in Highly Memory-Intensive Design in 40 nm

Memory-intensive blocks with vivid aspect-ratio memory lead to tight challenges in floor planning to placement in terms of congestion and timing. This article discusses a methodology developed to overcome challenges in implementing a flat design with 1.6 million instances and 640 macros. It also talks about specific timing, congestion and power related challenges from floor planning and power planning stages, and different placement techniques adopted to overcome congestion and timing.

Physical design implementation of a very large floor plan with high memory count poses multiple challenges mostly in areas like floor planning, power planning and also turnaround time for tool coupled with memory management. In addition to the huge memory count, variation in the size of memories multiplies the complexity and increases challenges in physical design implementation.

Here we talk about designing with a very high memory count of more than 600 and memories of different sizes with different pin densities, which brings along complexity of floor planning. Due to vivid sizes of memories, power planning is also equally challenging, and care has to be taken that rails are not being removed during this stage. With a large core and huge instance count, the run-times and memory requirements were very high, and this limited what the tool could be used for during flat implementation.

The block contained 21 main clocks and hence building the clock tree and meeting latencies and skew targets was also a challenge. We achieved these targets by using some customisation techniques for CTS.

Apart from this, the blocks had highly skewed floor plans, which don’t lend themselves well to typical optimisation engines, and quite often, congestion and sub-optimal timing and placement complicated the implementations.

Design details

This block is over 12,699 mm², implemented in 40 nm, with a total instance count of 1.6 million and over 640 macros/memories. The pin count is 652 data and clock pins. The design contains eight metal layers, of which six are used for block-level signal routing and the top two layers are used for power mesh and top-level routing.

The design utilisation is 63 per cent.

The block has 21 main clocks. The maximum frequency is 250 MHz and the minimum frequency is 50 MHz. The design has three modes and seven corners, two for setup and five for hold.
Floor planning challenges

Hierarchy placement. The design is physically flat but the logical hierarchy is maintained. The automatic macro placer identified these top-level hierarchies and the area they needed to be placed. Results of the placer are taken as reference to further the macro placement. Initially, while placing the memories, the individual hierarchy is picked up. Then the internal hierarchies are highlighted and memories with the same hierarchy placed in a stack.

Stack preparation. Stacks of memories are created as the design contains 640 memories. Memories are of vivid aspect ratio and different pin densities, which increased the complexity in stacking. One of the challenges in stacking is that smaller memories have pin counts similar to bigger ones. To tackle this hurdle, smaller memories are kept close to the core area in order to provide them better routing accessibility and reduce congestion.

Channel-width estimation. The main concern while doing this is to identify the approximate channel widths for both the two memories in a stack, and vertical channel width between two stacks of memories. The width of the horizontal channels increases gradually as the channel buffering of any memory stack increases from the block boundary to the block core area.

Pyramidal memory stacking. It’s a special methodology developed to overcome congestion issue. The vertical channel requirement at boundary is lesser than near the core interface because the routing resources and buffering required near the core area is more. This methodology helps tackle this issue by creating a vertical channel in a pyramidal shape from the core to the boundary.

Uniform core area. Another challenge is to create a uniform core area so as to provide better accessibility to memories that are stacked away from the core. The shape of the core area should neither have hillocks nor valleys. In order to achieve this milestone, we tried to control the stack size. The stack size trimming, breaking one bigger stack into two smaller stacks and rearrangement of these stacks helped in achieving a uniform core area.

Timing concerns. The access time of some of the memories is more than half of the clock period. These memories have 30 to 40 per cent contribution to FEP (front end processing) and more than 80 per cent contribution to TNS (total negative slack) if they are placed away from the core area. To avoid this timing criticality, such memories are placed near the core area. This places the related logic near it which, in turn, reduces the wire buffering and slew, and hence the slack. It has a minute impact on congestion, which can be ignored until later stages.

Power planning challenges

As mentioned earlier, the design has eight metal layers with HVH (high velocity hydroforming) process, of which the topmost layers are specifically used for power mesh and top-level routing. The bottommost layer for power mesh is the seventh layer, which is horizontal and leads to incomplete rail structure, causing IR drop and congestion issues. To avoid this in the core area, it is necessary to place a custom mesh in six vertical metal layers. Also, in verti-
cal channels between stacks, macro rings in Metal 4 are created.

The routing blockages in memories are till Metal 4, so M5 and M6 are used for routing over macros. Creating the M6 mesh limits these resources and causes congestion over macros. To tackle this issue, we used a window-based mesh structure and created the M6 mesh only in the uniform core region.

**Placement challenges**

**Blockages.** The design has a lot of stacks of memories, which forces the placer to place more and more cells very close to the macro and core interface, increasing congestion in those areas. To handle this, 100 per cent standard cell blockage is maintained in a very thin area of full core to memory interface.

In addition to this blockage, a 40 per cent partial placement blockage is kept in comparatively larger areas to control the cell density and avoid congestion issues. These blockages can be seen in Fig. 1.

Also, to maintain the uniform spreading of cells throughout the core area, 10 per cent partial placement blockage throughout the core area is placed to avoid local pin density issues. This helps in mitigating congestion.

**Region/cluster-based placement.** Custom cell placement regions or clusters are used to optimise timing in timing-critical paths. Now as the design is highly congested and densely utilised, there are chances that related logic can be placed far apart, due to which timing violations are expected. So in order to trade-off between congestion and timing, once you are done with congestion and timing, the failing endpoints should be clustered to avoid timing violation.

**Clock tree challenges**

As the design contains 21 clocks, it brings along the challenge of clock tree building and handling inter-clock domains. Now as the memory is scattered very far apart, meeting skew targets becomes very difficult. In the design, we have implemented a separate tree based on logic hierarchy, wherein we have created a separate tree for six different hierarchies. By strictly maintaining skew and insertion delay targets, we have handled this issue in separate tree clock insertion delay targets. Similarly, by maintaining the insertion delay target, we tried to make sure that inter-clock domain clocks are also synchronised, which helps us achieve better clock tree structure.

**To sum up**

The beauty of this methodology to tackle large and complex blocks in a flat implementation is that it can be implemented or adopted by any tool or any flow. It also helps in the number of iterations and can get you closer to the desired result as large designs have very large run times and pose huge memory requirements. So with the help of this approach, you can reduce block time period and eventually the time to market.
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